arXiv:1107.5856v1 [math.AP] 29 Jul 2011

GLOBAL STABILITY OF STEADY TRANSONIC EULER SHOCKS IN QUASI-ONE-DIMENSIONAL NOZZLES

JEFFREY RAUCH, CHUNJING XIE, AND ZHOUPING XIN

Abstract. We prove global in time dynamical stability of steady transonic shock solutions in divergent quasi-one-dimensional nozzles. We assume neither the smallness of the relative slope of the nozzle nor the weakness of the shock. Key ingredients of the proof are an exponentially decaying energy estimate for a linearized problem together with methods from [12].

1. Introduction and Main Results

Compressible isentropic Euler flows in quasi-one-dimensional nozzles are governed by

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_t + (\rho u)_x = -\frac{a'(x)}{a(x)}\rho u, \\ &(\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2 + p(\rho))_x = -\frac{a'(x)}{a(x)}\rho u^2, \end{aligned} . \tag{1}$$

where ρ, u and p denote the density, velocity and pressure, and, $a(x)$ is the cross-sectional area of the nozzle. The typical examples are 1D, 2D rotationally symmetric, and 3D spherical symmetric compressible Euler equations, where $a(x)$ are 1, x and x^2, respectively.

We assume that p satisfies

$$\forall \rho > 0, \qquad p(\rho) > 0\,, \quad p'(\rho) > 0\,, \quad \text{and}\,, \quad p''(\rho) \geq 0\,.$$

Examples are $p(\rho) := A\rho^\gamma$ with $\gamma \geq 1$, in particular the *polytropic* ($\gamma > 1$) and *isothermal* ($\gamma = 1$) gases. The *sound speed* is $c(\rho) := \sqrt{p'(\rho)}$. A state ρ, u at which the flow speed is larger than the sound speed, $|u| > \sqrt{p'(\rho)}$, is called *supersonic.* The opposite case, $|u| < \sqrt{p'(\rho)}$, is *subsonic.*

For steady states, (1) becomes the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho u)_x = -\frac{a'(x)}{a(x)}\rho u, \\ &(\rho u^2 + p(\rho))_x = -\frac{a'(x)}{a(x)}\rho u^2\,. \end{aligned} , \tag{2}$$

studied intensively in [1, 9]. Some properties needed later are the following.

The first equation in (2), $(a\rho u)_x = 0$, holds if and only if

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad a\rho u \;=\; M\,. \tag{3}$$

After a possible reflection we may assume without loss of generality that $M > 0$. The flow is from left to right. Using (3) to eliminate u from the second equation in (2) yields a scalar equation for ρ,

$$\left(\frac{M^2}{a\rho}\right)_x \;+\; ap(\rho)_x \;=\; 0. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. *A steady transonic shock solution is a piecewise smooth solution of (4) with two smooth solutions separated by a shock connecting a supersonic state on the left to a subsonic state on the right.*

The steady transonic shock solutions of (2) on $[l, L]$ are the piecewise smooth solutions

$$(\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}) = \begin{cases} (\bar{\rho}_-, \bar{M}/(a\bar{\rho}_-)), & \text{if } l < x < x_0, \\ (\bar{\rho}_+, \bar{M}/(a\bar{\rho}_+)), & \text{if } x_0 < x < L, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

satisfying the Rankine-Hugoniot condition

$$\left(p(\bar{\rho}_-) + \frac{\bar{M}}{(a\bar{\rho}_-)^2}\right)(x_0-) \;=\; \left(p(\bar{\rho}_+) + \frac{\bar{M}}{(a\bar{\rho}_+)^2}\right)(x_0+), \tag{6}$$

with $\bar{\rho}_-$ is supersonic $(p'(\bar{\rho}_-) < \frac{\bar{M}^2}{(a\bar{\rho}_-)^2})$ and $\bar{\rho}_+$ is subsonic $(p'(\bar{\rho}_+) < \frac{\bar{M}^2}{(a\bar{\rho}_+)^2})$. This implies the entropy condition

$$\bar{\rho}_-(x_0) \;<\; \bar{\rho}_+(x_0)\,. \tag{7}$$

Steady transonic shock solutions were constructed in [1, 9] satisfying the boundary conditions

$$(\rho, u)(l) \;=\; (\rho_l, u_l) \;=\; (\rho_l, \frac{\bar{M}}{a(l)\rho_l}), \qquad \text{and,} \qquad \rho(L) \;=\; \rho_r, \tag{8}$$

with (ρ_l, u_l) and $(\rho_r, u_r))$ supersonic and subsonic respectively. There have been many studies of the stability of steady transonic shocks for (1) (see [10]). In [10] a wave front tracking variant of Glimm's scheme was used to prove that when $|a'(x)/a(x)|$ is small, a weak transonic shock is dynamically stable if $a'(x_0) > 0$ and dynamically unstable if $a'(x_0) < 0$. Studies on the solutions of general hyperbolic conservation laws with source terms include [9, 11, 8, 3, 4] and references therein. For piecewise smooth initial data, in the case that $a(x) = x$ or $a(x) = x^2$, Xin and Yin [18] proved dynamical stability of weak shocks in nozzles with large l (thus $|a'(x)/a(x|$ small) in the class of piecewise smooth transonic shock solutions. The main result of this paper proves global stability in time without these restrictions.

Let $(\bar\rho, \bar u)$ be a steady transonic shock solution of the form (5) satisfying the boundary conditions (8). Suppose that the solution stays away from vacuum,

$$\inf_{x\in[l,L]} \bar\rho(x) \;>\; 0\,. \tag{9}$$

Solving an initial value problem for (2) we can extend $(\bar\rho_-, \bar u_-)$ to be a smooth supersonic solution to (2) on $[l, x_0+\delta]$ for some $\delta > 0$, that coincides with $(\bar\rho_-, \bar u_-)$ on $[l, x_0]$. The notation $(\bar\rho_-, \bar u_-)$ is used for the extended solution as well. Similarly, denote by $(\bar\rho_+, \bar u_+)$ a subsonic solution of (2) on $[x_0-\delta, L]$ for some $\delta > 0$, that coincides with $(\bar\rho_+, \bar u_+)$ on $[x_0, L]$.

Consider the mixed initial boundary value problem defined by (1) with initial data,

$$(\rho, u)(0,x) \;=\; (\rho_0, u_0)(x), \tag{10}$$

and boundary conditions

$$(\rho, u)(t,l) \;=\; (\rho_l, \frac{\bar M}{a(l)\rho_l}), \qquad \rho(t,L) \;=\; \rho_r\,, \tag{11}$$

with ρ_l and ρ_r from (8).

Assume that the initial data satisfy

$$(\rho_0, u_0)(x) = \begin{cases} (\rho_{0-}, u_{0-})(x), & \text{if } \ l < x < \tilde x_0, \\ (\rho_{0+}, u_{0+})(x), & \text{if } \ \tilde x_0 < x < L, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

These data are small perturbations of $(\bar\rho, \bar u)$ in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_0 - \tilde x_0| \;+\; &\big\|(\rho_{0+}, u_{0+}) \;-\; (\bar\rho_+, \bar u_+)\big\|_{H^{k+2}([\check x_0, L])} \\ &+ \big\|(\rho_{0-}, u_{0-}) - (\bar\rho_-, \bar u_-)\big\|_{H^{k+2}([l,\hat x_0])} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for some small $\varepsilon > 0$, and some integer $k \geq 15$, where $\check x_0 = \min\{x_0, \tilde x_0\}$ and $\hat x_0 = \max\{x_0, \tilde x_0\}$. Moreover, (ρ_0, u_0) is assumed to satisfy the Rankine-Hogoniot condition. That is, at the shock location $x = \tilde x_0$,

$$\left((p(\rho_{0+}) + \rho_{0+}u_{0+}^2 - (p(\rho_{0-}) + \rho_{0-}u_{0-}^2)\right)(\rho_{0+} - \rho_{0-}) \;=\; (\rho_{0+}u_{0+} - \rho_{0-}u_{0-})^2. \tag{14}$$

Our dynamical stability theorem is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $(\bar\rho, \bar u)$ be a steady transonic shock solution to system (2) satisfying (5), (6), (8), and (9). The key hypothesis is that the nozzle is widening at the shock location,*

$$a'(x_0) \;>\; 0. \tag{15}$$

There exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *so that for any* $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, *if the initial data* (ρ_0, u_0) *satisfy (13), (14) and the compatibility conditions at* $x = l$, $x = \tilde{x}_0$ *and* $x = L$ *or order* $(k+2)$, *then the initial boundary value problem (1), (10) and (11) has a unique piecewise smooth solution* $(\rho, u)(x,t)$ *for* $(x,t) \in [l, L] \times [0, \infty)$ *containing a single transonic shock* $x = s(t)$ *with* $s(0) = \tilde{x}_0$ *and* $l < s(t) < L$ *satisfying the pair of Rankin-Hougoniot conditions for* $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}(p(\rho) + \rho u^2)(t, s(t+)) - (p(\rho) + \rho u^2)(t, s(t-)) &= \big(\rho u(t, s(t+)) - \rho u(t, s(t-))\big)\dot{s}(t),\\ \rho u(t, s(t+)) - \rho u(t, s(t-)) &= \big(\rho(t, s(t+)) - \rho(t, s(t-))\big)\dot{s}(t),\end{aligned}$$

and the Lax geometric shock conditions,

$$(u - \sqrt{p'(\rho)})(t, s(t-)) > \dot{s}(t) > (u - \sqrt{p'(\rho)})(t, s(t+)), \qquad (u + \sqrt{p'(\rho)})(t, s(t+)) > \dot{s}(t).$$

Denote

$$(\rho, u) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_-), & \text{if} \quad l < x < s(t), \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & \text{if} \quad s(t) < x < L\,. \end{cases}$$

There is a $T_0 > 0$ *so that for* $t > T_0$,

$$(\rho_-, u_-)(t, x) = (\bar{\rho}_-, \bar{u}_-)(x), \quad \text{for } l \le x < s(t)\,.$$

The solution approaches the steady transonic flow at an exponential rate, that is, there exist positive constants $C > 0$ *and* $\lambda > 0$ *independent of the solution so that for* $t > 0$

$$\|(\rho_+, u_+)(\cdot, t) - (\bar{\rho}_+, \bar{u}_+)(\cdot)\|_{W^{k-7,\infty}(s(t),L)} + \sum_{m=0}^{k-6} |\partial_t^m (s(t) - x_0)| \le C\,\varepsilon\, e^{-\lambda t},$$

where $(\bar{\rho}_\pm, \bar{u}_\pm)$ *is the unperturbed solution.*

Remark 1. *The results in Theorem 2, are also true if we impose small perturbations in the boundary conditions (8).*

Remark 2. *The regularity assumption in (13) is not optimal. Adapting the paradifferential methods from* [14], *one can decrease the regularity required in (13).*

Remark 3. *Compatibility conditions for the initial boundary value problems for hyperbolic equations are discussed in detail in* [16, 13, 14].

Remark 4. *We require neither the smallness of* $|a'/a|$, *largeness of* l, *nor the weakness of the shock strength as in* [18]. *Similar results for steady transonic shock solutions for the Euler-Poisson equations were proved in* [12]. *The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1 is inspired by* [12].

Remark 5. *If the condition (15) is violated, i.e.* $a'(x_0) < 0$*, then the transonic shock solution is unstable, see* [10, 18].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 transforms the problem to free boundary problem for a second order scalar hyperbolic partial differential equation. The weighted energy equality for the associated linearized problem is derived in §3. This yields Theorem 1 with the aid of ideas from [12].

2. TRANSFORMATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let $(\bar\rho, \bar u)$ be a steady transonic shock solution of the form (2) satisfying (5), (6), (8), and (9). If the initial data (ρ_0, u_0) satisfies (13) and the compatibility condition of order $k+2$. It follows from the argument in [7] that there exists a piecewise smooth solution of the equations on $[0, \bar T]$ for some $\bar T > 0$, which can be written as

$$(\rho, u) = \begin{cases} (\rho_-, u_-), & \text{if } l < x < s(t), \\ (\rho_+, u_+), & \text{if } s(t) < x < L. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Since the flow is supersonic on the left it follows that there is a $T_0 > 0$ so that, when $t > T_0$, (ρ_-, u_-) depends only on the boundary conditions at $x = l$. Moreover, when ε is small, by the standard lifespan argument, we have $T_0 < \bar T$ (cf. [7]). Therefore,

$$(\rho_-, u_-) = (\bar\rho_-, \bar u_-) \text{ for } t > T_0. \tag{17}$$

It suffices to study the solution for $T_0 > 0$ so without loss of generality we suppose that $T_0 = 0$. We want to extend the local-in-time solution to all $t > 0$. In view of (17), it suffices to obtain uniform estimates in the region $x > s(t)$, $t > 0$. We formulate an initial boundary value problem in this region. First, the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions for (16) read

$$[\rho u] \;=\; [\rho]s'(t), \qquad [\rho u^2 + p] \;=\; [\rho u]s'(t), \tag{18}$$

using standard notation for the jump $[f] :=, f(s(t+), t) - f(s(t-), t)$, so

$$[p + \rho u^2]\,[\rho] \;=\; [\rho u]^2.$$

Denote $M := a\rho u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(p(\rho_+)(t,s(t)) + \frac{M_+^2(t,s(t))}{a^2(s(t))\rho_+(s(t),t)} - p(\bar\rho_+)(s(t)) - \frac{\bar M_+^2}{a^2(s(t))\bar\rho_+}(s(t))\\ &+ p(\bar\rho_+)(s(t)) + \frac{\bar M_+^2}{a^2\bar\rho_+}(s(t)) - p(\bar\rho_-)(s(t)) - \frac{\bar M_-^2}{a^2\bar\rho_-}(s(t))\Big)\ \big(\rho_+(t,s(t)) - \rho_-(s(t))\big)\\ &= \left(\frac{M_+(t,s(t)) - \bar M_+(s(t))}{a(s(t))}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

The implicit function theorem and the momentum equation in (2) imply,

$$(M_+ - \bar M_+)(t,s(t)) = \mathscr{A}_1((\rho_+ - \bar\rho_+)(t,s(t)), s(t) - x_0) \tag{19}$$

where $\mathscr{A}_1$ regarded as a function of two variables satisfies $\mathscr{A}_1(0,0) = 0$ and

$$\left.\frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_1}{\partial(\rho_+ - \bar\rho_+)}\right|_{(0,0)} = -\frac{a(p'(\bar\rho_+) - \bar u_+^2)}{2\bar u_+}(x_0), \qquad \left.\frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_1}{\partial(s - x_0)}\right|_{(0,0)} = -\frac{a'\bar u_-(\bar\rho_+ - \bar\rho_-)}{2}(x_0).$$

Note that $\bar M_+ = \bar M_- = \bar M$. And so substituting (19) into the first equation in (18) yields,

$$s'(t) \ = \ \mathscr{A}_2(\rho_+ - \bar\rho_+, s(t) - x_0) \tag{20}$$

where $\mathscr{A}_2$ satisfies $\mathscr{A}_2(0,0) = 0$ and

$$\left.\frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_2}{\partial(\rho_+ - \bar\rho_+)}\right|_{(0,0)} = -\frac{p'(\bar\rho_+) - \bar u_+^2}{2\bar u_+(\bar\rho_+ - \bar\rho_-)}(x_0), \qquad \left.\frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_2}{\partial(s(t) - x_0)}\right|_{(0,0)} = -\frac{a'\bar u_-}{2a}(x_0).$$

Define

$$\psi_-(t,x) \ := \ -\bar M t + \int_l^x a(y)\bar\rho_-(y)dy$$

and

$$\bar\psi_+(t,x) \ := \ \psi_-(t,x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x a(y)\bar\rho_+(y)dy, \ \psi_+(t,x) = \psi_-(t,s(t)) + \int_{s(t)}^x a(y)\rho_+(y,t)dy.$$

Set

$$\Psi \ := \ \psi_+(x,t) - \bar\psi_+(t,x).$$

Then

$$\Psi_t = \bar M - M_+, \qquad \Psi_x = a\rho_+ - a\bar\rho_+.$$

The second equation in (1) is equivalent to

$$-(\bar\psi_+ + \Psi)_{tt} + \partial_x\left(\frac{(\partial_t\bar\psi_+ + \partial_t\Psi)^2}{\partial_x\bar\psi_+ + \partial_x\Psi}\right) + a(x)\partial_x p\left(\frac{\partial_x\bar\psi_+ + \partial_x\Psi}{a(x)}\right) = 0.$$

Write this equation as follows. Setting $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1) = (t, x)$,

$$\sum_{ij} \bar{a}_{ij}(x, \Psi_t, \Psi_x)\partial_{ij}\Psi + \sum_i \bar{b}_i(x, \Psi_t, \Psi_x)\partial_i \Psi = 0, \tag{21}$$

where a_{ij}, b_i and c are smooth with respect to each variable, and satisfy (using the Einstein summation convention),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_0\Psi &= \bar{a}_{ij}(x, 0, 0)\partial_{ij}\Psi + \bar{b}_i(x, 0, 0)\partial_i\Psi + c\bar{g}(x, 0, 0)\Psi \\ &= \partial_{tt}\Psi + 2\bar{u}_+\partial_{tx}\Psi + (\bar{u}_+^2 - p'(\bar{\rho}_+))\partial_{xx}\Psi + 2\partial_x\bar{u}_+\partial_t\Psi + B\partial_x\Psi\end{aligned}$$

with

$$B \ := \ \frac{a'}{a}p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \partial_x p'(\bar{\rho}_+) + \partial_x \bar{u}_+^2.$$

In terms of Ψ, the equations (19) and (20) can be written as

$$\Psi_t(t, s(t)) = -\mathscr{A}_1(\frac{\Psi_x(t, s(t))}{a(s(t))}, s(t) - x_0), \tag{22}$$

and

$$s' = \mathscr{A}_2(\frac{\Psi_x(t, s(t))}{a(s(t))}, s(t) - x_0), \tag{23}$$

respectively. A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(t, s(t)) &= \psi_+(t, s(t)) - \bar{\psi}_+(t, s(t)) = \psi_-(t, s(t)) - \bar{\psi}_+(t, s(t)) \\ &= \psi_-(t, x_0) + \psi_-(t, s(t)) - \psi_-(t, x_0) - \bar{\psi}_+(t, x_0) - \bar{\psi}_+(t, s(t)) + \bar{\psi}_+(t, x_0) \\ &= \partial_x\psi_-(t, x_0) \cdot (s(t) - x_0) - \partial_x\bar{\psi}_+(t, x_0) \cdot (s(t) - x_0) + R_1,\end{aligned}$$

where R_1 is quadratic in $s(t) - x_0$. This implies

$$s(t) - x_0 = \mathscr{A}_3(\Psi(s(t), t)), \tag{24}$$

where $\mathscr{A}_3$ satisfies $\mathscr{A}_3(0) = 0$ and

$$\left.\frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_3}{\partial\Psi}\right|_{\Psi=0} \ = \ \frac{1}{a(\bar{\rho}_- - \bar{\rho}_+)}(x_0)\,.$$

It follows from (22), (23) and (24) that

$$\partial_t\Psi = \mathscr{A}_4(\Psi_x, \Psi), \quad \text{at } x = s(t), \tag{25}$$

where

$$\mathscr{A}_4(0, 0) = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_4}{\partial\Psi_x} = \frac{c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2}{2\bar{u}_+}(x_0), \qquad \frac{\partial\mathscr{A}_4}{\partial\Psi} = -\frac{a'\bar{u}_-}{2a}(x_0)\,.$$

On the right boundary, $x = L$, Ψ satisfies

$$\partial_x\Psi = 0, \qquad \text{at} \quad x = L\,. \tag{26}$$

It suffices to derive uniform estimates for Ψ and s that satisfy (21), (24), (25) and (26).

To this end, first transform this free boundary value problem into a fixed boundary value problem. Set

$$\tilde{t} := t, \qquad \tilde{x} := (L - x_0)\frac{x - s(t)}{L - s(t)} + x_0\,, \qquad \sigma(\tilde{t}) := s(t) - x_0,$$

and

$$q_1(\tilde{x}, \sigma) := \frac{L - \tilde{x}}{L - x_0 \; - \; \sigma(\tilde{t})}\,, \qquad q_2(\sigma) = \frac{L - x_0}{L - x_0 - \sigma(\tilde{t})}\,. \tag{27}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} \; - \; \sigma'(\tilde{t}) q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{t}^2} + (q_1 \sigma'(\tilde{t}))^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} - 2 q_1 \sigma'(\tilde{t}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x} \partial \tilde{t}} - q_1 \left(\sigma''(\tilde{t}) + 2 \frac{(\sigma'(\tilde{t}))^2}{L - x_0 - \sigma(\tilde{t})} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} = q_2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x} \partial \tilde{t}} + \frac{\sigma'(\tilde{t})}{L - x_0 - \sigma(\tilde{t})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} - q_1 \sigma'(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = q_2^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2}.$$

So (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\tilde{t}\tilde{t}} \Psi \; + \; q_2 \partial_{\tilde{x}} \left(\frac{(-\bar{M} + \partial_{\tilde{t}} \Psi - \sigma'(\tilde{t}) q_2 \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Psi)^2}{a \bar{\rho}_+ \; + \; q_2 \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Psi} \right) + a(x) q_2 \partial_{\tilde{x}} p \left(\frac{a \bar{\rho}_+ + q_2 \Psi_{\tilde{x}}}{a(x)} \right) \\ &\qquad - \; 2 \sigma'(t) q_1 \partial_{\tilde{x}\tilde{t}} \Psi \; + \; (q_1 \sigma'(t))^2 \partial_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} \Psi \; - \; 2 \frac{(\sigma'(\tilde{t}))^2}{L - x_0 - \sigma(\tilde{t})} q_1 \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Psi \; = \; \sigma''(\tilde{t}) q_1 \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Psi. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (24) takes the form

$$\sigma = \mathscr{A}_3(\Psi(t, \tilde{x} = x_0)),$$

and the equation for the shock front, (23), becomes

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tilde{t}} = \mathscr{A}_2 \left(\frac{q_2(\sigma) \Psi_{\tilde{x}}}{a}, \; \sigma(\tilde{t}) \right).$$

Using (24) to represent the quadratic terms for σ in terms of Ψ, we have, at $\tilde{x} = x_0$,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tilde{t}} + \frac{a' \bar{u}_-}{2a}(x_0) \sigma = \mathscr{C}_2(\Psi_{\tilde{x}}, \Psi), \tag{28}$$

where $\mathscr{C}_2$ satisfies

$$\left| \mathscr{C}_2(\Psi_{\tilde{x}}, \Psi) + \frac{c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2}{2(\bar{\rho}_+ - \bar{\rho}_-) \bar{u}_+ a}(x_0) \Psi_{\tilde{x}} \right| \leq C(\Psi_{\tilde{x}}^2 + \Psi^2).$$

It follows from (24) and (28) that one can represent σ and σ' in terms of Ψ and its derivatives at $\tilde{x} = x_0$. Thus, after manipulating (25) with (24) and (28), one finds

$$\Psi_{\tilde{t}} \; = \; \mathscr{C}_1(\Psi_{\tilde{x}}, \Psi), \qquad \text{at} \qquad \tilde{x} = x_0.$$

By the implicit function theorem this is equivalent to

$$\Psi_{\tilde{x}} = \mathscr{C}_3(\Psi_{\tilde{t}}, \Psi), \qquad \text{at} \quad \tilde{x} = x_0,$$

where $\mathscr{C}_3$ satisfies

$$\left| \mathscr{C}_3(\Psi_{\tilde{t}}, \Psi) - \frac{2\bar{u}_+}{c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2}(x_0)\Psi_{\tilde{t}} - \frac{a'\bar{u}_-\bar{u}_+}{(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2)a}(x_0)\Psi \right| \le C(\Psi_{\tilde{t}}^2 + \Psi^2).$$

In the following, drop the $\tilde{}$ in $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{t}$ for ease of reading.

In summary, the problem has been transformed to the following compact form

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}(x, \Psi, \sigma)\Psi \ = \ \sigma''(t) q_1 \partial_x \Psi, \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times [x_0, L], \\ \partial_x \Psi \ = \ d_1(\Psi_t, \Psi)\Psi_t + e_1(\Psi_t, \Psi)\Psi, \quad \text{at } x = x_0, \\ \partial_x \Psi \ = \ 0, \quad \text{at } x = L, \\ \sigma(t) \ = \ \mathscr{A}_3(\Psi(t, x_0)), \quad \sigma(0) = \sigma_0, \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

where,

$$\mathscr{L}(x, \Psi, \sigma)\Phi \ := \ \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} a_{ij}(x, \nabla\Psi, \sigma, \sigma')\partial_{ij}\Phi + \sum_{i=0}^{1} b_i(x, \nabla\Psi, \sigma, \sigma')\partial_i \Phi,$$

with

$$d_1(\Psi_t, \Psi \ := \ \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \mathscr{C}_3}{\partial \Psi_t}(\theta\Psi_t, \theta\Psi)d\theta, \qquad e_1(\Psi_t, \Psi) \ := \ \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \mathscr{C}_3}{\partial \Psi}(\theta\Psi_t, \theta\Psi)d\theta.$$

Furthermore, one has

$$\mathscr{L}(x, 0, 0)\Phi = \mathscr{L}_0\Phi$$

and

$$a_{00}(x, \nabla\Psi, \sigma, \sigma') = 1, \qquad a_{01}(x, 0, 0, 0, 0) = a_{10}(x, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \bar{u}_+,$$

$$a_{11}(x, 0, 0, 0, 0) = -(p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2),$$

$$b_0(x, 0, 0, 0, 0) = 2\partial_x \bar{u}_+, \qquad b_1(x, 0, 0, 0, 0) = B,$$

$$d_1(0, 0) = \frac{2\bar{u}_+}{c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2}(x_0), \qquad e_1(0, 0) = \frac{a'(x_0)\bar{u}_+\bar{u}_-}{(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2)a}(x_0).$$

3. Proof of the Main Theorem

The key first step in the proof of Theorem 2 is the exponential decay of solutions of a linearized problem. It yields in non trivial manner *a priori* estimates for the nonlinear problem which lead to the global stability of the steady shock.

3.1. **Linear Estimate.** The first step is the following energy identity for the linearization at the steady shock.

Lemma 3. *Assume that a satisfies (15). Let Ψ be a smooth solution of the linearized problem*

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}_0\Psi \; = \; 0, \qquad x_0 < x < L, \quad t > 0, \\ \partial_x\Psi \; = \; \dfrac{2\bar{u}_+}{c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2}(x_0)\partial_t\Psi \; + \; \dfrac{a'(x_0)\bar{u}_+\bar{u}_-}{(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2)a}(x_0)\Psi, \quad \text{at} \quad x = x_0, \\ \partial_x\Psi = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad x = L, \\ \Psi(0,x) \; = \; h_1(x), \quad \Psi_t(0,x) \; = \; h_2(x), \quad x_0 < x < L. \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

Then the following dissipation identity holds,

$$E(\Psi, t) \; = \; E(\Psi, 0) - D(\Psi, t), \tag{31}$$

where E and D are defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Psi,t) \; &:= \; \left(\frac{a'\bar{u}_+^2\bar{u}_-\Psi^2}{a}\right)(t,x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{L} \bar{u}_+\left\{(\partial_t\Psi)^2 + (p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2)(\partial_x\Psi)^2\right\}(t,x)\; dx\,, \\ D(\Psi,t) \; &:= \; 2\int_0^t \bar{u}_+^2(x_0)(\partial_t\Psi)^2(\tau,x_0) + \bar{u}_+^2(L)(\partial_t\Psi)^2(\tau,L)\; d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Multiplying the first equation in (30) by $\bar{u}_+(x)\partial_t\Psi$ and integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{L} \mathscr{L}_0\Psi\cdot\bar{u}_+\partial_t\Psi\; dxd\tau \; &= \; \int_{x_0}^{L} \frac{\bar{u}_+(\partial_t\Psi)^2 + \bar{u}_+(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+ - \bar{u}_+^2)(\partial_x\Psi)^2}{2}(t,x)\; dx \\ &+ \int_0^t\int_{x_0}^{L}[B\bar{u}_+ + \partial_x(\bar{u}_+(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2))]\partial_t\Psi\partial_x\Psi\; dxd\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \left(\bar{u}_+^2(\partial_t\Psi)^2 + \bar{u}_+(\bar{u}_+^2 - c^2(\bar{\rho}_+))\partial_x\Psi\partial_t\Psi\right)\Big|_{x=x_0}^{x=L}\; d\tau \\ &- \int_{x_0}^{L} \frac{\bar{u}_+(\partial_t\Psi)^2 + \bar{u}_+(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+ - \bar{u}_+^2)(\partial_x\Psi)^2}{2}(0,x)\; dx\,. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &B\bar{u}_+ + \partial_x(\bar{u}_+(x)(c^2(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2)) \\ &\quad = B\bar{u}_+ + \bar{u}_+(x)\partial_x(c^2 - \bar{u}_+^2) + \partial_x\bar{u}_+(x)(c^2 - \bar{u}_+^2) \\ &\quad = \bar{u}_+\left[\frac{a'}{a}p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - p''(\bar{\rho}_+)\partial_x\bar{\rho}_+ + \partial_x\left(\frac{M^2}{(\partial_x\bar{\psi}_+)^2}\right)\right] \\ &\qquad + \bar{u}_+\partial_x\left(p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \frac{M^2}{(\partial_x\bar{\psi}_+)^2}\right) + \partial_x\bar{u}_+(p'(\rho) - \bar{u}_+^2) \\ &\quad = \bar{u}_+\frac{a'}{a}p'(\bar{\rho}_+) + \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{M}{a\bar{\rho}_+}\right)(p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The momentum equation can be written as

$$\partial_x\left(\frac{M^2}{a(x)\bar{\rho}_+}\right) + a(x)p'(\bar{\rho}_+)\bar{\rho}_+' = 0, \qquad \text{so,} \qquad \left(p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \frac{M^2}{(a\bar{\rho}_+)^2}\right)\bar{\rho}_+' = \frac{M^2}{a^3\bar{\rho}_+}a'.$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{u}_+\frac{a'}{a}p'(\bar{\rho}_+) + \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{M}{a\bar{\rho}_+}\right)(p'(\bar{\rho}_+) - \bar{u}_+^2) = 0. \tag{34}$$

The boundary terms in (32) are

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{u}_+^2(x)(\partial_t\Psi)^2\Big|_{x=x_0}^{x=L} + \bar{u}_+(\bar{u}_+^2 - c^2)\partial_x\Psi\partial_t\Psi\Big|_{x=x_0}^{x=L} \\ &\quad = \bar{u}_+^2(\partial_t\Psi)^2(\tau, L) + \bar{u}_+^2(x_0)(\partial_t\Psi)^2(\tau, x_0) + \frac{a'}{a}\frac{\bar{u}_+^2\bar{u}_-}{2}\partial_t\Psi^2(\tau, x_0) \\ &\quad = \bar{u}_+^2(\partial_t\Psi)^2(\tau, L) + \bar{u}_+^2(x_0)(\partial_t\Psi)^2(\tau, x_0) + \partial_t\left(\left(\frac{a'\bar{u}_+^2\bar{u}_-\Psi^2}{2a}\right)(\tau, x_0)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The lemma follows from (32), (33), (34), and (35). □

The estimate in Lemma 3 and the method of [12] imply that solutions of the linear problem (30) decay exponentially to zero. This in turn implies the exponential decay of solutions of the nonlinear problem. We describe the form that these arguments take in the present context.

Lemma 4. *If a' satisfies (15) then there exist constants $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ so that solutions Ψ of the problem (30) satisfy,*

$$E(\Psi, t) \;\le\; C\, e^{-\lambda_0 t}\, E(\Psi, 0)$$

Proof: Step 1. Rauch-Taylor estimates. Thanks to the boundary condition at $x = x_0$, the estimate (31) implies

$$E(\Psi,t) + C_1\int_0^t (\Psi_t^2 + \Psi_x^2)(s,x_0)\,ds \;\le\; E(\Psi,0) + C_2\int_0^t \Psi^2(s,x_0)\,ds\,.$$

The argument in [17] and the details in [12, Appendix], one can show that there exist $T > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, T/4)$ such that

$$\int_0^T (\Psi_t^2 + \Psi_x^2)(t,x_0)\,dt \;\ge \delta E(\Psi,T) - C_3\int_0^T \Psi^2(t,x_0)\,dt\,. \tag{36}$$

Combining (31) and (36) yields,

$$(1 + C_4)E(\Psi,T) \;\le\; E(\Psi,0) + C_5\int_0^T \Psi^2(t,x_0)\,dt\,, \tag{37}$$

for some positive constants C_4 and C_5, independent of t.

Step 2. Spectrum of the evolution operator. Define a new norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ for the function $h = (h_1, h_2) \in H^1 \times L^2([x_0, L])$,

$$\|h\|_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \frac{a'\bar u_+^2\bar u_-}{a}(x_0)|h_1|^2(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^L \bar u_+\left\{|h_2|^2 + (p'(\bar\rho_+) - \bar u_+^2)|h_1'|^2\right\}(x)dx.$$

The associated complex Hilbert space will be denoted by $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}})$. Define the solution operator $S_t : \mathbf{X} \mapsto \mathbf{X}$ as

$$S_t(h) = (\Psi(t,\cdot), \Psi_t(t,\cdot))$$

where Ψ is the solution of the problem (30) with the initial data $h = (h_1, h_2)$. Applying the Lemma on page 81 in [15], there are at most a finite set of generalized eigenvalues for the operator S_T in the annulus $\{\frac{1}{1+C_6} < |z| \le 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, each with finite multiplicity.

Step 3. Refined estimate for the spectrum of S_T. We show that the spectrum does not touch the unit circle. Otherwise there would exist $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V \in \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$(S_T - e^{i\omega}I)V = 0.$$

Note that the identity (31) still holds in the complex setting if we replace the square terms in E and D by the square of modulus. Thus

$$E(\Psi,0) - E(\Psi,nT) = nD(\Psi,T).$$

Since $E(\Psi,nT)$ and $E(\Psi,0)$ are both positive and finite, it follows that

$$D(\Psi,T) = 0. \tag{38}$$

Therefore $E(\Psi,t) = E(\Psi,0)$, for all t. Let $\mathbb{V} := ker(S_T - e^{i\omega}I)$. Note that the coefficients in the problem (30) do not depend on t, so

$$(S_T - e^{i\omega}I)S_t = S_t(S_T - e^{i\omega}I)$$

In particular, $S(t)\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{V}$ for any t, so $\mathbb{V}$ is invariant with respect to S_t. Therefore $S_t|_{\mathbb{V}}$ is a semigroup on a finite dimensional subspace. This yields that $S(t)|_{\mathbb{V}} = e^{tA}$ for some $A \in \mathrm{Hom}\,\mathbb{V}$. The definition of $\mathbb{V}$ implies that $e^T A = e^{i\omega t} I$ so the spectrum of A is purely imaginary. Choose an eigenvector w of A, $Aw = \lambda w$, $\lambda \in i\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$ Then $S_t w = e^{\lambda t} w$. From $S_t w = e^{i\beta t} w$ and (38) it follows that $w(x_0) = 0$. The boundary condition at x_0 then yields $w'(x_0) = 0$. The uniqueness of solutions of the linear homogeneous second order ordinary differential equation satisfied by eigenfunctions implies that $w = 0$. This contradicts the assumption that w is an eigenvector hence not equal to zero.

The contradiction shows that there exists $0 < \beta_0 < 1$ so that the spectrum, $\sigma(S_T)$, of S_T satisfies $\sigma(S_T) \subset \{|z| \le \beta_0\}$. The formula for the spectral radius implies that $\|S(nT)\| = \|S(T)^n\|$ decays exponentially as $n \to \infty$. This is equivalent to the assertion Lemma 4. □

Corollary 5. *Assume that* a' *satisfies (15) and* $0 \le k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Define*

$$E_k(\Psi,t) \ := \ \sum_{m=0}^{k} E(\partial_t^m \Psi, t)\,.$$

Then, with λ_0 *from Lemma 4, solutions* Ψ *of the linearized problem* (30) *satisfy*

$$E_k(\Psi,t) \ \le \ C\, e^{-\lambda_0 t}\, E_k(\Psi,0)\,, \qquad \text{and,}$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_0 t/4} \ \sum_{l=0}^{k} \big(|\partial_t^l \Psi|^2(t,x_0) + |\partial_t^l \Psi|^2(t,L) \big)\ dt \ \le \ C\, E_k(\Psi,0).$$

Proof: Since the coefficients of the equation and the boundary conditions are independent of t, applying this estimate to the solution $\partial_t^m \Psi$ yields

$$E(\partial_t^m \Psi, t) \ \le \ C\, e^{-\lambda_0 t}\, E(\partial_t^m \Psi, 0).$$

Summing on m yield the first estimate of the Corollary. The second follows from (31), Sobolev imbedding, and the first. □

3.2. **Uniform A Priori Estimates.** The existence of local-in-time solutions for the problem (29) is proved as in [7]. In order to get global existence for the nonlinear problem (29), it suffces to prove global *a priori* estimates for (29) supplemented with initial data

$$\Psi(0,x) = h_1(x), \quad \Psi_t(0,x) = h_2(x). \tag{39}$$

With C, λ_0 from Lemma 4, choose $T > 0$ so that

$$\alpha_0 \ := \ C\, e^{-\lambda_0 T} < 1\,. \tag{40}$$

For $t \geq T$ and integer $k \geq 15$, introduce

$$|||(\Psi,\sigma)||| = \tilde{|}(Y,\sigma)\tilde{|} + \tilde{\|}(Y,\sigma)\tilde{\|}$$

where

$$\tilde{|}(\Psi,\sigma)\tilde{|} := \sup_{\tau\in[0,t)} \sum_{0\leq m\leq k-6} \left(\sum_{0\leq l\leq m} e^{\frac{\lambda\tau}{16}} \|\partial_t^l \partial_x^{m-l}\Psi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([x_0,L])} + e^{\frac{\lambda\tau}{16}} \left| \frac{d^m\sigma}{dt^m} \right| (\tau) \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\|}(\Psi,\sigma)\tilde{\|} = {} & \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \left(\sum_{\substack{0\leq l\leq m,\\ 0\leq m\leq k}} \|\partial_t^l \partial_x^{m-l}\Psi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^2([x_0,L])} + \sum_{0\leq l\leq k} \|\partial_t^l \partial_x^{k+1-l}\Psi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^2([x_0,L])} \right) \\
& + \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\partial_t^{k+1}\Psi(\tau,\cdot) - \frac{d^{k+1}\sigma}{dt^{k+1}} q_1(\cdot,\sigma)\partial_x\Psi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^2([x_0,L])} \\
& + \sum_{\substack{0\leq l\leq m,\\ 0\leq m\leq k+1}} (\|\partial_t^l \partial_x^{m-l}\Psi(\cdot,x_0)\|_{L^2[0,t]} + \|\partial_t^l \partial_x^{m-l}\Psi(\cdot,L)\|_{L^2[0,t]}) + \sum_{0\leq m\leq k+1} \left\| \frac{d^m\sigma}{dt^m} \right\|_{L^2[0,t]},
\end{aligned}$$

with a positive constant λ to be defined in (52) below.

In this subsection, when there are no specific indications to the contrary, we assume a_{ij}, b_i, and g are functions of $(x, \Psi, \nabla\Psi, \sigma, \dot{\sigma})$, d_1 and e_1 are functions of (Ψ, Ψ_t). Define

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi,t) \ := \ \frac{e_1\Phi^2}{d_1\bar{\rho}_+}(t,x_0) \ + \ \int_{x_0}^{L} \bar{u}_+\{(\partial_t\Phi)^2 - a_{11}(\partial_x\Phi)^2\}(t,x)\,dx. \tag{41}$$

Furthermore, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and given Ψ and σ such that $|||(\Psi,\sigma)||| < \infty$, define

$$\mathcal{E}_l(\Phi,t) := \sum_{m=0}^{l} \mathcal{E}(\partial_t^m\Phi,t), \quad \text{and,} \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}_l(\Phi,t) \ := \ \mathcal{E}_{l-1}(\Phi,t) + \mathcal{E}_0(\partial_t^l\Phi - q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l},t)\,.$$

It is easy to see that if $|||(\Psi,\sigma)||| \le \epsilon$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi,t)(t) \;\ge\; C\int_{x_0}^{L}(\partial_t\Phi)^2+(\partial_x\Phi)^2+\Phi^2)(t,x)\,dx$$

for some constant $C>0$ independent of t.

Proposition 6. *Assume that a' satisfies (15). There exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that for any $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_0$, if (Ψ,σ) is a smooth solution of the problem (29) and* (39) *satisfying*

$$|\sigma_0| \;+\; \|h_1\|_{H^{k+2}} \;+\; \|h_2\|_{H^{k+1}} \;\le\; \epsilon^2 \;\le\; \epsilon_0^2, \quad \text{and,} \quad |||(\Psi,\sigma)||| \;\le\; \epsilon,$$

then

$$|||(\Psi,\sigma)||| \;\le\; \epsilon/2\,. \tag{42}$$

Proof: The proof has four steps.

Step 1. Lower order energy estimate. Define

$$\mathscr{D}(\Phi,t) \;:=\; \int_0^t -\bar{u}_+(a_{11}d_1+a_{01})(\partial_t\Phi)^2(\tau,x_0)d\tau + \int_0^t \bar{u}_+a_{01}(\partial_t\Phi)^2(\tau,L)d\tau\,,$$

and $\mathscr{D}_m(\Phi,t) = \sum_{l=0}^m \mathscr{D}(\partial_t^l\Phi,t)$. Taking the m-th ($0\le m\le k-1$) order derivative of the equation (29) with respect to t, then multiplying the both sides by $\bar{u}_+\partial_t^{m+1}\Psi$ and integrating on $\Omega =: [0,t]\times[x_0,L]$, noticing that $a_{00}=1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_m(\Psi,t) \;+\; \mathscr{D}_m(\Psi,t) \;\le\; & \mathcal{E}_m(\Psi,0)\\ & +\; C\,|||(\Psi,\sigma)|||\left[\int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda\tau}{64}}\left[\mathcal{E}_m(\Psi,\tau)+\sum_{l=0}^{m+2}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2\right]d\tau + \mathscr{D}_m(\Psi,t)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

for $m=0,1,\cdots,k-1$.

Step 2. The highest order energy estimates. Take the k-th order derivative for the equation (29) with respect to t to find,

$$\mathscr{L}(x,\Psi,\sigma)\partial_t^k\Psi \;=\; \mathscr{F}_k(x,\Psi,\sigma) + \frac{d^{k+2}\sigma}{dt^{k+2}}q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x + \sum_{0\le l\le k-1} C_k^l\frac{d^{l+2}\sigma}{dt^{l+2}}\partial_t^{k-l}\left(q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\right),$$

where

$$\mathscr{F}_k(x,\Psi,\sigma) \;:=\; \sum_{1\le l\le k} C_k^l\left\{-\sum_{i,j=0}^1 \partial_t^l a_{ij}\partial_{ij}\partial_t^{k-l}Y - \sum_{i=0}^1 \partial_t^l b_i\partial_i\partial_t^{k-l}Y\right\}.$$

In order to handle the term $\frac{d^{k+2}\sigma}{dt^{k+2}}$, study the equation for $\check{\Psi} = \partial_t^k\Psi - q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\frac{d^k\sigma}{dt^k}$,

$$\mathscr{L}(x,\Psi,\sigma)\check{\Psi} = \mathscr{F}_k(x,\Psi,\sigma) + \check{\mathscr{F}}(x,\Psi,\sigma), \tag{44}$$

where

$$\check{\mathscr{F}}(x,\Psi,\sigma) = \sum_{0\le l\le k-1} C_k^l \frac{d^{l+2}\sigma}{dt^{l+2}}\partial_t^{k-l}(q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x) - \frac{d^{k+1}\sigma}{dt^{k+1}}\partial_t\left(q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\right)$$
$$-\frac{d^k\sigma}{dt^k}\partial_t^2\left(q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\right) - \left(2a_{01}\partial_t\partial_x + a_{11}\partial_x^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{1} b_i\partial_i\right)\left(\frac{d^k\sigma}{dt^k}q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\right).$$

Multiplying both sides of (44) by $\bar{u}_+\partial_t\check{\Psi}$ and integrating on Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\check{\Psi},t) + \mathscr{D}(\check{\Psi},t) \ &\le\ \mathcal{E}(\check{\Psi},0)\\ &+ C|||(\Psi,\sigma)|||\left[\int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda\tau}{64}}\left[\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,\tau) + \sum_{l=0}^{k+1}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2\right]d\tau + \hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,t)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $\hat{\mathscr{D}}_k$ is defined as follows

$$\hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Phi,t) = \mathscr{D}_{k-1}(\Phi,t) + \mathscr{D}_0(\partial_t^k\Phi - q_1(x,\sigma)\Psi_x\frac{d^k\sigma}{dt^k},t).$$

Adding the estimates (43) and (45) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,t) + \hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,t) \ &\le\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,0)\\ &+\ C\,|||(\Psi,\sigma)|||\left\{\int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda\tau}{64}}\left[\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,\tau) + \sum_{l=0}^{k+1}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2\right]d\tau + \hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,t)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Step 3. Boundedness of the energy. Differentiating the equation for the shock front

$$\sigma(t) = \mathscr{A}_3(\Psi(t,x_0)) \tag{47}$$

with respect to t, yields

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k+1}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2(\tau)\ \le\ C\left(|\sigma(\tau)|^2 + \sum_{l=0}^{k+1}|\partial_t^l\Psi(\tau,x_0)|^2\right).$$

Using (47) again yields

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k+1}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2\ \le\ C\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k}|\partial_t^l\Psi(\tau,x_0)|^2 + |\partial_t\check{\Psi}|^2\right).$$

Thus

$$\int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda\tau}{64}}\sum_{l=0}^{k+1}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2 d\tau\ \le\ C\left(\int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda\tau}{64}}\mathcal{E}_0(\Psi,\tau;\Psi,\sigma)\,d\tau + \hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,t;\Psi,\sigma)\right)$$

Therefore, the energy estimate (46) is equivalent to

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,t) + \hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,t)\ \le\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,0) + C|||(\Psi,\sigma)|||\left(\hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,\tau) + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda\tau}{64}}\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,\tau)\,d\tau\right).$$

If $|||(\Psi,\sigma)||| \le \epsilon$, then

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,t) \;+\; \hat{\mathscr{D}}_k(\Psi,t) \;\le\; 2\,\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\Psi,0) \;\le\; C\,\epsilon^4.$$

This yields

$$\tilde{\|}(\Psi,\sigma)\tilde{\|} \;\le\; C\left(\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k^{1/2}(\Psi,\tau)+\hat{\mathscr{D}}_k^{1/2}(\Psi,t)\right) \;\le\; C\epsilon^2 \;\le\; \frac{\epsilon}{4}\,. \tag{48}$$

Step 4. Decay of the lower energy and the shock position. The basic idea to get the decay is to control the deviation of the solution Ψ of the nonlinear problem (29) from that of the linearized problem (30) (denoted by $\bar{\Psi}$). The contraction of the energy for $\bar{\Psi}$ will also yields the contraction of the energy for Ψ. This gives the decay of the lower energy of Ψ. The decay of the shock position is a consequence of the governing equation for the shock front and the decay of the lower energy.

At time $\tau = t_0$, we can choose $\bar{h}_1 \in H^k$ and $\bar{h}_2 \in H^{k-1}$ so that there exists a solution $\bar{\Psi} \in C^{k-1-i}([t_0,\infty); H^i([x_0,L]))$ of the linear problem (30) satisfying $\bar{\Psi}(t_0,\cdot) = \bar{h}_1$ and $\bar{\Psi}_t(t_0,\cdot) = \bar{h}_2$, and $\bar{\Psi}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{l}\|\partial_t^i\partial_x^{l-i}\bar{\Psi}(t_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2[x_0,L]} \;\le\; C\,|||(\Psi,\sigma)||| \tag{49}$$

for some uniform constant C, and

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0) \;\le\; C\,|||(\Psi,\sigma)|||\;\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi,t_0). \tag{50}$$

As that in Steps 1 and 2, energy estimates for the equation for $\Psi-\bar{\Psi}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0+T)+\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0+T)-\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0)\\
&\le \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0)+C|||(\Psi,\sigma)|||\Bigg[\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T}\sum_{l=0}^{k-3}\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right|^2+\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},\tau)\;d\tau\\
&\quad+\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T}\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}^{1/2}(\Psi,\tau)\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}^{1/2}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},\tau)d\tau+(\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{k-4}(\Psi,t_0+T)-\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{k-4}(\Psi,t_0))\\
&\quad+(\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0+T)-\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{k-4}(\Psi-\bar{\Psi},t_0))\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the contraction of energy for $\bar{\Psi}$ and noting that the deviation of Ψ and $\bar{\Psi}$ at t_0 is of higher order (cf. (50)), one has

$$\frac{34+30\alpha_0}{64}\,\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi,t_0+T) \;\le\; \frac{2+30\alpha_0}{32}\,\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi,t_0), \tag{51}$$

if ϵ is sufficiently small. As in Corollary 5, it follows from (51) that

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi_t, t) + \sigma^2(t) \;\le\; C\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k-4}(\Psi, 0) + \sigma^2(0)\right) e^{-2\lambda t},$$

where with α_0 from (40),

$$\lambda \;:=\; -\frac{\ln(1+\alpha)/2}{2T}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha \;:=\; \frac{2+30\alpha_0}{17+15\alpha_0}. \tag{52}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-6}\left[\left|\frac{d^l\sigma}{dt^l}\right| + \|\Psi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty[x_0,L]}\right] \le C\epsilon^2 e^{-\lambda t}. \tag{53}$$

Combining (48) and (53), one has (42). This finishes the proof of the Proposition 6. □

Once one has the Proposition 6, Theorem 2 follows from the standard continuation argument and local existence result.

Acknowledgments: This work was initiated when Xie worked at University of Michigan and was completed when he visited The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong. He gratefully acknowleges the both institutions. Rauch's research is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0807600, and Xin's research is partially supported by Hong Kong RGC Earmarked Research Grants CUHK 4040/06P, CUHK 4042/08P, and a focus area scheme grant at CUHK.

References

[1] Pedro Embid, Jonathan Goodman, and Andrew Majda, *Multiple steady states for* 1*-D transonic flow*, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput. **5** (1984), no. 1, 21–41.

[2] Lawrence C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 19. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[3] Seung-Yeal Ha, L^1 *stability for systems of conservation laws with a nonresonant moving source*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), no. 2, 411–439.

[4] Seung-Yeal Ha and Tong Yang, L^1 *stability for systems of hyperbolic conservation laws with a resonant moving source*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **34** (2003), no. 5, 1226–1251

[5] Tosio Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Reprint of the 1980 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[6] Peter D. Lax, *Functional Analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 2002.

[7] Ta Tsien Li and Wen Ci Yu, *Boundary value problems for quasilinear hyperbolic systems*, Duke University Mathematics Series, V. Duke University, Mathematics Department, Durham, NC, 1985.

[8] Wen-Ching Lien, *Hyperbolic conservation laws with a moving source*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (1999), no. 9, 1075–1098.

[9] Tai-Ping Liu, *Transonic gas flow in a duct of varying area*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **80** (1982), no. 1, 1–18.

[10] Tai-Ping Liu, *Nonlinear stability and instability of transonic flows through a nozzle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), no. 2, 243–260.

[11] Tai-Ping Liu, *Nonlinear resonance for quasilinear hyperbolic equation*, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987), no. 11, 2593–2602.

[12] Tao Luo, Jeffrey Rauch, Chunjing Xie, and Zhouping Xin, *Stability of transonic shock solutions for Euler-Poisson equations*, to appear in Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., arXiv:1008.0378,

[13] Andrew Majda, *The existence of multidimensional shock fronts*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1983), no. 281.

[14] Guy Métivier, *Stability of multidimensional shocks*, Advances in the theory of shock waves, 25–103, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 47, Birkh user Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.

[15] Jeffrey Rauch, *Qualitative behavior of dissipative wave equations on bounded domains*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **62** (1976), no. 1, 77–85.

[16] Jeffrey Rauch and Frank Massey, *Differentiability of solutions to hyperbolic initial-boundary value problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **189** (1974), 303–318.

[17] Jeffrey Rauch and Michael Taylor, *Exponential decay of solutions to hyperbolic equations in bounded domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **24** (1974), 79–86.

[18] Zhouping Xin and Huicheng Yin, *The transonic shock in a nozzle, 2-D and 3-D complete Euler systems*, J. Differential Equations **245** (2008), no. 4, 1014–1085.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH STREET, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109, USA

E-mail address: `rauch@umich.edu`

E-mail address: `cjxie@umich.edu`

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG

E-mail address: `zpxin@ims.cuhk.edu.hk`